# Hydrothermal synthesis of HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/MO series nanocomposites and their photocatalytic properties

JIHUAI WU\*

Institute for Materials Physical Chemistry, Huaqiao University, Quanzhou 362011, China E-mail: jhwu@hqu.edu.cn

# SHU YIN Institute for Chemical Reaction Science, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8577, Japan

YU LIN, JIANMING LIN, MIAOLIANG HUANG Institute for Materials Physical Chemistry, Huaqiao University, Quanzhou 362011, China

TSUGIO SATO Institute for Chemical Reaction Science, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8577, Japan

MO (M = Ni, Cu and Mn) or  $M_2O_3$  (M = Fe and Cr) were intercalated into the interlayer of HNbWO<sub>6</sub> by hydrothermal reaction on HNbWO<sub>6</sub> with 1 M soluble M(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> or M(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> aqueous solution at 120°C. © 2001 Kluwer Academic Publishers

## 1. Introduction

Photocatalytic reaction of layered nanocomposite semiconductors, such as splitting of water and reduction of carbon dioxide, has received special attention because of their possible application for the conversion of solar energy into chemical energy. Many studies have been carried out to enhance the photochemical activity of these catalysts. It is to be expected that the photoactivity of the semiconductor increases with the decrease of particle size since, in such a system, the distance which the photoinduced holes and electrons have to diffuse before reaching the interface decrease. Consequently, the holes and electrons can be effectively captured by the electrolyte in the solution [1]. Incorporation of semiconductor particles in the interlayer region of a lameller compound to form a nanocomposite consisting of host layers with ultrafine particles in the interlayer is a promising method for enhancing the photocatalytic activity of a semiconductor. As expected, the photocatalytic activities of incorporated semiconductors are much enhances when extremely small particles of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub> and CdS are intercalated into the interlayer of montmorillonite, layered double hydroxides, layered hydrous niobates and layered hydrous titanates [2-9].

It is considered that the preparation method and condition is an important element in enhancing photocatalytic activity of layered nanocomposite besides the choice of host and guest. In some case, semiconductor could not be effectively intercalated into the interlayer of layered compound owing to lacking of suitable methods. On the other hand, the structure and properties of nanocomposite depend on the methods and condition used in preparing the nanocomposite. In the present study, using hydrothermal method, new layered nanocomposites HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/MO (M = Mn, Ni and Cu) and HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/M<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (M = Cr and Fe) were synthesized and their photocatalytic activities were evaluated.

### 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. Chemicals

Layered HNbWO<sub>6</sub> was prepared by proton exchange of LiNbWO<sub>6</sub> with 2 M HNO<sub>3</sub> at room temperature for 48 h with one intermediate replacement of the acid in between. Farinose LiNbWO<sub>6</sub> with particle size about  $10^{-6} - 10^{-7}$  m was obtained by calcining stoichiometric mixture of Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, WO<sub>3</sub> and Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> at 800 °C in air for 24 h with one intermediate grinding in 12 h [10]. X-ray powder diffraction (shown in Fig. 1) proved the product to be single-phase LiNbWO<sub>6</sub> with a trirutiletype pattern [10].

 $[Fe_3(CH_3COO)_7(OH)(H_2O)_2]NO_3$  was prepared as follows [2]:  $Fe(NO_3)_3 \cdot 9H_2O(40 \text{ g})$  was added to 24 ml of ethyl alcohol and then reacted with 70 ml of acetic anhydride in ice bath by adding in small portions. The resulting precipitate was separated and dried without purification further.

Unsupported TiO<sub>2</sub> (Deggusa P-25) was commercially obtained and used without further purification. Unsupported Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was prepared by adding a 1 M Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> aqueous solution (50 ml) to a 5 M NH<sub>3</sub> aqueous solution (500 ml) at room temperature and the precipitate was washed with water until free of NH<sub>3</sub> and dried at 120 °C.

<sup>\*</sup> Author to whom all correspondence should be addressed.



*Figure 1* X-ray powder diffraction patterns of (a) trirutile LiNbWO<sub>6</sub>, and (b) layered HNbWO<sub>6</sub>.

2.2. Hydrothermal synthesis of HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/MO (M = Mn, Ni and Cu) and HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/M<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (M = Cr and Fe)

 $M^{2+}$  (M = Mn, Ni and Cu) or  $M^{3+}$  (M = Cr and Fe) ions were incorporated into the interlayer of HNbWO<sub>6</sub> by hydrothermal reaction of HNbWO<sub>6</sub> (4 g) with 1 M M(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> or M(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> aqueous solution (40 ml) in a 100 ml container at 120 °C for 12 h. After being filtered and washed with water, the precipitate was heated at 250 °C for 3 h so as to decompose any water remained in the interlayer of HNbWO<sub>6</sub>. The samples obtained thus were designated as HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/MnO, HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/NiO and HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/CuO.

#### 2.3. Synthesis of HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/TiO<sup>\*</sup><sub>2</sub> and HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sup>\*</sup><sub>3</sub>

TiO<sub>2</sub> acidic sol was obtained by adding titanium tetraisopropoxide to 1 M HCl solution with the TiO<sub>2</sub>/HCl molar ratio of 0.25. HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/n-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>NH<sub>2</sub> was prepared by stirring HNbWO<sub>6</sub> (1 g) in 50 ml of 20 Vol. % n-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>/n-heptane mixed solution under reflux at 50 °C for 72 h. HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/n-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>NH<sub>2</sub> was added to TiO<sub>2</sub> acidic sol with the TiO<sub>2</sub>/HNbWO<sub>6</sub> molar ratio of 20 and the suspension was continuous stirred for 6 h at room temperature so as to incorporate TiO<sub>2</sub> into the interlayer of HNbWO<sub>6</sub>. After being filtered and washed with water, the specimen was dispersed in water and irradiated with UV light from a 450 W high-pressure mercury lamp at 60°C for 12 h so as to decompose any n-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>NH<sub>2</sub> remained in the interlayer of HNbWO<sub>6</sub>. The sample obtained was designated as HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>\*.

Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was incorporated into the interlayer of HNbWO<sub>6</sub> by irradiating the [Fe<sub>3</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>COO)<sub>7</sub>(OH) (H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> exchanged compound with UV light from a 450 W high-pressure mercury lamp at 50 °C for 12 h. The exchanged compound was obtained by ion-exchange reaction of HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/n-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>NH<sub>2</sub> (2 g) with [Fe<sub>3</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>COO)<sub>7</sub>(OH)(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>]NO<sub>3</sub> (25 g) in 500 ml water at 50 °C for 72 h. The sample obtained was designated as HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>\*</sup>.

#### 2.4. Analysis

The crystalline phases of the products were identified by X-ray diffraction (Rigaku Denki Geiger-flex 2013) using graphite monochromized Cu-K<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> radiation. The chemical compositions of the samples were determined by TG-DTA analysis (Rigaku Denki TAS 200 TG-DTA) and by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (Seiko SPS-1200A) after dissolving the samples in water by adding 0.1 g samples to HCl/HNO<sub>3</sub> (3 : 1) mixed solutions (120 ml) and boiling for 2 h. The band gap energies of the products were determined from the onset of diffuse reflectance spectra of the powders measured by using a Shimadzu Model UV-2000 UV-VIS spectrophotometer. The specific surface areas of samples were determined by nitrogen gas adsorption method (Quantachrome Autosorb-1).

#### 2.5. Photocatalytic reaction

Photocatalytic reaction was carried out in a Pyrex reactor of 1250 ml capacity attached to an inner radiation type 450 W high-pressure mercury lamp. The inner cell had thermostat water flowing through a jacket between the mercury lamp and the reaction chamber. The inner cell was constructed with Pyrex glass that served to filter out the UV emission with  $\lambda < 290$  nm from the mercury lamp. The light with  $\lambda < 400$  nm was filtered out by flowing 1 M NaNO<sub>2</sub> solution between the mercury lamp and the reaction chamber. The photocatalytic activities of samples were determined by measuring the volume of hydrogen gas evolved with a gas burette when the suspensions of samples were irradiated.

#### 3. Results and discussions

#### 3.1. Intercalation of MO and M<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> into the interlayer of HNbWO<sub>6</sub>

Fig. 2 depicts the X-ray powder diffraction patterns of (a) HNbWO<sub>6</sub>, (b) HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup>, (c) HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/  $Cr_2O_3$ , (d) HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/MnO, (e) HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>\*</sup>, (f) HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, (g) HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/NiO and (h) HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/ CuO. The peak positions, corresponding to (110) of  $HNbWO_6$ , of samples (b)–(h) are almost the same as HNbWO<sub>6</sub> (a). But the position of (002) diffraction peaks of samples (a)–(f) are different and depend on the species in the interlayer. These results suggest that layered structures of HNbWO<sub>6</sub> are remained after intercalation of TiO<sub>2</sub>, Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, MnO, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, NiO and CuO although the interlayer distance changes. The gallery heights of HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup>, HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/MnO, HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/NiO and HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/CuO determined by subtracting the HNbWO<sub>6</sub> layer thickness (0.76 nm) [11, 12] are 0.41, 0.31, 0.31, 0.29, 0.28, 0.29, and 0.30 nm respectively. Since the gallery height of HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/MO and HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/M<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are less than 0.31 nm, it is suggested that the thickness of intercalated layer are less than 0.5 nm which indicate the formation of nanocomposites. It is notable that the gallery height of HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>\*</sup> after the sample is dried at 250  $^{\circ}$ C for 3 h is close to those of HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>,. It might be due to the same structure for  $HNbWO_6/Fe_2O_3^*$  and HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> although different preparation methods are applied.

The UV-VIS diffuse reflection spectra of (a) HNbWO<sub>6</sub>, (b) HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup>, (c) HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>,



*Figure 2* X-ray powder diffraction patterns of (a) HNbWO<sub>6</sub>, (b) HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup>, (c) HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, (d) HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/MnO, (e) HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>\*</sup>, (f) HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, (g) HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/NiO and (h) HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/CuO.

(d) HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/MnO, (e) HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>\*, (f) HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/ Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, (g) HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/NiO and (h) HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/CuO are shown in Fig. 3. According to the formula  $\Delta E =$  $1240/\lambda$  (nm), the band gap energy  $\Delta E$  (eV) for each specimen can be calculated by its wavelength of adsorption edges  $\lambda$  (nm) on its inflexion of adsorption spectra. The spectra of HNbWO<sub>6</sub> and HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> are almost same, indicating the onset at ca 400 nm (3.1 eV). On the other hand, other HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/MO and HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/M<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanocomposites show broad reflection spectra over 400-600 nm. The visible light absorption above 400 nm might be caused by the metal oxides incorporated. That different phenomena occurred on incorporated of TiO2 and other metal oxides might be due to the different in band gap energies, i.e., the different in the band gap energies of TiO<sub>2</sub> and HNbWO<sub>6</sub> is not large, but that of other metal oxides and HNbWO<sub>6</sub> are large. Similar phenomena were also observed in H<sub>2</sub>Ti<sub>4</sub>O<sub>9</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>4</sub>Nb<sub>6</sub>O<sub>17</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>,



*Figure 3* Diffuse reflection spectra of (a) HNbWO<sub>6</sub>, (b) HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup>, (c) HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, (d) HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/MnO, (e) HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>\*</sup>, (f) HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, (g) HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/NiO and (h) HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/CuO.

 $HTaWO_6/TiO_2$ ,  $H_2Ti_4O_9/Fe_2O_3$ ,  $H_4Nb_6O_{17}/Fe_2O_3$  and  $HTaWO_6/Fe_2O_3$  systems [7, 8].

The gallery heights, amount of the metal elements intercalated, band gap energies and specific surface area of nanocomposites are summarized in Table I.

TABLE I Gallery height, element content, band gap energy and specific area of the samples

Sample	Gallery height** (nm)	Content(M) (Wt.%)	Band gap energy (eV)	Specific surface area $(m^2 \cdot g^{-1})$
HNbWO <sub>6</sub>	0.21		3.04	5.99
HNbWO <sub>6</sub> /TiO <sub>2</sub> *	0.41	4.42	3.12	36.11
HNbWO <sub>6</sub> /Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.31	3.01	2.20	14.70
HNbWO <sub>6</sub> /MnO	0.31	3.27	2.26	13.97
HNbWO <sub>6</sub> /Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> *	0.29	7.19	2.22	23.30
HNbWO <sub>6</sub> /Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.28	5.65	2.04	16.94
HNbWO <sub>6</sub> /NiO	0.29	3.69	2.17	18.87
HNbWO <sub>6</sub> /CuO	0.30	5.09	2.30	13.65

\*\*Gallery heights were detremined after samples were dried at 250°C for 3 h.



*Figure 4* Volume of hydrogen gas produced from 1250 ml of Vol. 10% methanol solution containing 1 g of dispersed unsupported TiO<sub>2</sub>, unsupported Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, HNbWO<sub>6</sub>, HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/TiO<sup>\*</sup><sub>2</sub>, (c) HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/MnO, HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sup>\*</sup><sub>3</sub>, HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/CuO at 60 °C for 5 h under irradiation with  $\lambda > 290$  nm and  $\lambda > 400$  nm from a 450 W mercury arc.

The amount of the metal elements intercalated are 4.42, 3.01, 3.27, 7.19, 5.65, 3.69 and 5.09 Wt.% for HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup>, HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/MnO, HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>\*</sup>, HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/NiO and HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/CuO nanocomposites, respectively. The higher Fe content in corporation of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>\*</sup> than that in HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> indicate the ion exchange of Fe<sup>3+</sup>, consequently incorporation Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was promoted by the pre-expansion of the interlayer with C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>. Owing to same reason, the specific surface of HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/MO and HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/M<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanocomposites are  $2 \sim 3$  times greater than that of HNbWO<sub>6</sub> which further indicate the intercalation of metal oxides and the formation of the MO and M<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> pillars.

#### 3.2. Photocatalytic properties

Fig. 4 shows volumes of hydrogen gas produced from 1250 ml of 10 Vol.% methanol solution containing 1 g of dispersed unsupported TiO<sub>2</sub>, unsupported Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, HNbWO<sub>6</sub>, HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup>, HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/MnO, HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>\*</sup>, HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/NiO and HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/CuO at 60 °C for 5 h under irradiating with  $\lambda > 290$  nm and  $\lambda > 400$  nm from a 450 W mercury arc.

All samples show photocatalytic activities to evolve hydrogen gas under the irradiation with  $\lambda > 290$  nm. The amount of hydrogen gas evolved increase in the sequence, unsupported Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (5 ml) < unsupported TiO<sub>2</sub> (10 ml) < HNbWO<sub>6</sub> (14 ml) < HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/MnO (15 ml) < HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (17 ml) = HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (17 ml) < HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup> (18 ml) = HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/CuO (18 ml) < HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (22 ml) = HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/NiO (22 ml).

On the other hand, no hydrogen gas produced from TiO<sub>2</sub>, HNbWO<sub>6</sub> and HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> was observed under irradiation of visible light ( $\lambda > 400$  nm), as expected from their ban gap energies (>3 eV). The photocatalytic activity of nanocomposites under visible light irradia-

tion is in the order

$$\begin{split} HNbWO_{6}/Cr_{2}O_{3} \ (14 \text{ ml}) > HNbWO_{6}/CuO \ (12 \text{ ml}) \\ = HNbWO_{6}/NiO \ (12 \text{ ml}) > HNbWO_{6}/MnO \ (11 \text{ ml}) \\ > HNbWO_{6}/Fe_{2}O_{3} \ (8 \text{ ml}) = HNbWO_{6}/Fe_{2}O_{3}^{*} \ (8 \text{ ml}) \end{split}$$

> unsupported Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (3 ml).

The results suggested that the photocatalytic activities of  $Fe_2O_3$  and  $TiO_2$  are enhanced when they are intercalated in the interlayer of HNbWO<sub>6</sub>. It indicates that the electrons and holes photoinduced from intercalated  $Fe_2O_3$  can be effectively used for the reduction of water and oxidation of methanol, but the electrons and holes produced from unsupported  $Fe_2O_3$  rapidly recombine. The depression of the recombination of electrons and holes might be due to electron transfer from  $Fe_2O_3$  to host HNbWO<sub>6</sub>. Similar results were observed in  $H_4Nb_6O_{17}$ ,  $H_2Ti_4O_9$  and HTaWO<sub>6</sub> systems [7, 8, 13].

It is notable that the photoactivity order of the above nanocomposites are different under irradiation with  $\lambda > 290$  nm and  $\lambda > 400$  nm. It might be due to the different optical characteristics and sensitivities of HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/MO nanocomposites. It was also notable that the photocatalytic activity of HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is almost the same as that of HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> under irradiation with  $\lambda > 290$  nm or  $\lambda > 400$  nm although different methods are applied to fabricate them. In view of the simplicity, convenience and start materials obtained easily for hydrothermal synthesis, it is suggested that hydrothermal synthesis methods are used to prepare layered nanocomposites more widely.

#### 4. Conclusions

Based on above, the following conclusions may be drawn. (1) HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/MnO, HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/NiO and HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/CuO nanocomposites were fabricated by hydrothermal reaction of HNbWO<sub>6</sub> with soluble  $M(NO_3)_2$  or  $M(NO_3)_3$ solution at 120 °C for 12 h followed by calcining samples at 250 °C for 3 h. (2) the height of MO and  $M_2O_3$ pillars are less than 0.4 nm. The band gap energies of  $HNbWO_6/MO$  and  $HNbWO_6/M_2O_3$  are less than 3 eV. (3) The photocatalytic activities of  $HNbWO_6/TiO_2$ and  $HNbWO_6/Fe_2O_3$  nanocomposites are superior to those of unsupported  $TiO_2$  and  $Fe_2O_3$ , respectively. (4) HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/MnO, HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/NiO and HNbWO<sub>6</sub>/CuO nanocomposites are capable of hydrogen gas evolution to the extent of 15-22 ml and 8-12 ml over 5 h following irradiation with  $\lambda > 290$  nm and  $\lambda > 400$  nm from a 450 W mercury arc in the presence of methanol as sacrificial holes acceptor.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was jointly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 50082003), the Provincial Natural Science Foundation of Fujian, China (No. E992001) and the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture.

#### References

- 1. A. HAGFELDT and M. GRATZEL, Chem. Rev. 95 (1995) 49.
- 2. S. YAMANAKA, T. DOI and S. SAKO, *Mater. Res. Bull.* 19 (1994) 61.
- 3. O. ENENA and A. J. BARD, J. Phys. Chem. 90 (1986) 301.
- 4. H. MIYOSHI and H. YONEYAMA, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 85 (1989) 1873.
- 5. H. YONEYAMA, S. HAGA and S. YAMANAKA, *J. Phys. Chem.* **93** (1989) 4833.
- 6. H. MIYOSHI, H. MORI and H. YONEYAMA, *Langmuir*. 7 (1991) 503.
- 7. T. SATO, Y. YAMAMOTO and S. UCHIDA, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 92 (1996) 5089.

- 8. S. UCHIDA, Y. YAMAMOTO and T. SATO, *ibid.* 93 (1992) 3229.
- 9. T. SATO, K. MASAKI and K. SATO, J. Chem. Tech. Biotechnol. 67 (1996) 339.
- 10. V. BHAT and J. GOPALAKRISHNAN, Solid State Ionics. 26 (1988) 25.
- 11. R. P. SHANNON and C. P. PREWITT, *Acta Cryst.* **B25** (1961) 125.
- 12. E. CAZZANELLI, G. MARIOTTO and M. CATTI, Solid State Ionics. 53 (1992) 383.
- J-H. WU, S. UCHIDA, Y. FUJISHIRO, S. YIN and T. SATO, J. of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry 128 (1999) 129.

Received 2 July 1999 and accepted 20 December 2000